

Florida Board of Bar Examiners

ADMINISTRATIVE BOARD OF THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

TIPPIN-MOORE BUILDING
1801 EIDER COURT
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-1750

September 13, 1999



Mr. Mark A. Adams
6519 Newberry Road
Apt. #202
Gainesville, FL 32605

File No. 80838
Exam Badge No. 59

ADMISSION STATUS REPORT

This is a report of your Bar Examination performance and the status of your background investigation which is furnished to you pursuant to Rule 4-62.3. It would be preferable to provide you with a personal letter but due to the number of examinees, the desire to notify you as quickly as possible, and the necessity for absolute accuracy, your results are reported in the format below. Due to the complex and technical nature of the grade results and the various methods by which you can pass the exam, an Information Sheet is enclosed to assist you in interpreting your scores and determining your results under either the individual or overall methods of the bar exam. If you have questions after reading the enclosures, please write. Your written request will be answered promptly.

IF YOU HAVE SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETED THE FLORIDA BAR EXAMINATION, THIS LETTER IS NOT AUTHORIZATION TO TAKE THE OATH OF ATTORNEY.

July 1999 General Bar Examination

You passed Part A and Part B and the General Bar Examination.

	Scaled Score
Part A	163.0
Part B	163.0
Overall	163.0

Dates of Previous or Current Passing Scores
Passing Date

Overall	7/99
Part A	7/99
Part B	7/99
MPRE	8/99



NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF BAR EXAMINERS

MULTISTATE PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY EXAMINATION

EXAMINEE'S REPORT OF SCORES

Please review carefully all information printed below. If any data are incorrect or incomplete, contact the board of bar examiners in the jurisdiction to which your scores were reported.

RAW SCORE	SCALED SCORE	TEST DATE	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER*
45	131	08/13/99	[REDACTED]

* If you did not indicate a Social Security number on your answer sheet, an identification number was assigned to your record for processing purposes.

ADAMS, MARK A
6519 NEWBERRY RD302
GAINESVILLE, FL 32605

Your scores (shown above) were reported to the following jurisdiction as you requested in Block J of your answer sheet:

FLORIDA

This jurisdiction requires a minimum passing scaled score of:

75

Each jurisdiction has the authority to determine its own passing score. If the jurisdiction you chose does not list a passing score, check with the board of bar examiners in that state to determine the passing score.

EXPLANATION OF SCORES

Your **RAW SCORE** is the number of test questions that you answered correctly in the examination. The lowest possible raw score is 0; the highest is 50.

SCALED SCORES are standard scores that range from 50 (low) to 150 (high). The original mean (average) scaled score was established at 100. The conversion of raw scores to scaled scores involves a statistical process that adjusts for variations in difficulty of different forms of the examination so that any particular scaled score will represent the same level of knowledge from test to test. For instance, if this test were more difficult than previous forms, then your scaled score would be adjusted upward to account for this difference. If this test were easier than previous forms, then your scaled score would be adjusted downward to account for this difference. The purpose of these adjustments is to help ensure that no examinee is unfairly penalized (or rewarded) for taking a harder (or easier) form of the test.

ADDITIONAL SCORE REPORT REQUESTS

If, after the examination, you decide that you would like to have your scores sent to one or more boards of bar examiners in addition to the jurisdiction you indicated on your answer sheet, you may do so by writing to the address below. Your request should include your name, address, Social Security number, date of birth, test date, your signature, and each board of bar examiners you wish to receive a copy of your scores. Enclose \$15.00 for each board of bar examiners you request.

Duplicate score reports may also be requested by following this procedure.

REQUESTS FOR RECHECKING OF ANSWER SHEETS

A request to have your answer sheet rechecked must be made within three months of the original test date. The request should include your name, Social Security number, date of birth, test date, and your signature, and should be sent to the address below.

BACKGROUND	Soc Sec/ Soc Ins No.	[REDACTED]		Institutions Attended	Degree	Date	Level	Code
	Service Type	LSDAS	State of Perm. Res.	FL	BA	04/87	U	5828
	Birthdate	02/01/63	Age	32	Edison Community College		U	5191
	Undergraduate Major	Finance	Sex	MALE	University of Florida		U	5812
			Complete Date	Santa Fe Community College			U	5653

DESCRIPTIVE	Code	Year	Notes from Transcript																
	PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF LSAT	95 & UP	90-94	85-89	80-84	75-79	70-74	65-69	60-64	55-59	50-54	45-49	40-44	35-39	30-34	25-29	20-24	0-19	
	PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF GPAs	4.00 Up	3.80	3.60	3.40	3.20	3.00	2.80	2.60	2.40	2.20	2.00	1.80	1.60	1.59 Down				

TRANSCRIPT ANALYSIS	Year	81-82	82-82	83-83	83-84	84-85	85-86	85-86	86-87
	Education Level	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
	College	SNTA FE	U FLA	SNTA FE	SNTA FE	U S FLA	EDISNCC	U S FLA	U S FLA
	College Code	5653	5812	5653	5653	5828	5191	5828	5828
	LSAT College Mean		581		INSF		INSF		30
	Num. Candidates		835		INSF		INSF		1032
	Semester Hours	31.0	4.0	22.0	17.0	19.0	8.0	16.0	21.0
	GPA	3.68		3.09	3.47	3.37	3.50	3.38	3.71
	Cum. GPA/College	3.68	0.00	3.44	3.44	3.37	3.50	3.37	3.50
	Cum. GPA %ile Rank		1%		INSF		INSF		78%

SUMMARY	GRADES EARNED	TOTAL	NUMBER OF SEMESTER HOURS BY GRADE FOR EACH SCHOOL-DATE-PERIOD									
	3.50 & UP	A	67.0	21.0	0.0	6.0	8.0	7.0	4.0	6.0	15.0	
	2.50 - 3.49	B	63.0	10.0	0.0	12.0	9.0	12.0	4.0	10.0	6.0	
	1.50 - 2.49	C	4.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	0.50 - 1.49	D	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	0.49 & Down	F	4.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	Unconverted		6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	
	Total		144.0	31.0	4.0	22.0	17.0	23.0	8.0	16.0	23.0	

SUMMARY	LSAT SCORE	166	LSAT PERCENTILE RANK 3 YEARS	95	ADMINISTRATION DATE	02/95	Degree (Summary) GPA	3.50	Cumulative GPA	3.37
							Semester Hours	56.0	Semester Hours	138.0
							Nonpunitive "NC", "WF", and "Repeated" Course Credit Hours	0.0		
	Prior Law School Matriculation or Intent to Matriculate Reported by: Recipients of this report are cautioned to contact the named law school to verify the information reported here. This is a master copy of the report that will be sent along with your LSAT result(s) and a copy of your transcript(s) to each school to which you apply. Examine the data carefully for accuracy. Instructions for reporting inaccuracies and a detailed explanation of the reporting process are enclosed. NOTE: Effective July 18, 1994, all incomplete grades which the issuing school considers punitive will be calculated as 0.00 in the LSDAS conversion process.									

MARK A ADAMS
1986 PELICAN LNDG BLVD 1523
CLEARWATER, FL 34622

- + NO REPORTABLE SCORE-LSAT/LSAS ACTION
- * NO REPORTABLE DATA-DATA CANCELLED
- / NO REPORTABLE SCORE-CANDIDATE ACTION
- NO REPORTABLE SCORE-ABSENT OR SCORE DELAYED

NOTE: Pursuant to Public Law 93-380, 513 the "personally identifiable information" contained on this document not be released to any third party except in accordance with the provisions of the referenced act.



**SCORE REPORT
EXAMINEE COPY**

GRADUATE MANAGEMENT ADMISSION TEST

REGISTRATION NUMBER	PRINT DATE
754360	07/19/96

NAME			SEX	DATE OF BIRTH			SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER	TELEPHONE NUMBER
LAST	FIRST	M.I.		Month	Day	Year		
ADAMS	MARK	A	M	02	01	63	[REDACTED]	352 372 3231

DATE OF GRADUATION	SELF-REPORTED UNDERGRAD. GPA	UNDERGRADUATE MAJOR	CITIZENSHIP COUNTRY	UNDERGRADUATE INSTITUTION
05 Mo 87 Yr	3.5	FINANCE	USA	5828

INTENDED GRADUATE STUDY	SCORES*									
	TEST DATE		SCALED SCORES							
	Month	Year	VERBAL SCORE	% BELOW	QUANT. SCORE	% BELOW	TOTAL SCORE	% BELOW	AWA SCORE	% BELOW
	06	96	41	94	41	83	670	94	4.5	65

* "No reportable score" may indicate that all sections of the test were not completed.

CODE	Your scores have been sent to the GRADUATE SCHOOLS OF MANAGEMENT listed below.
1841	UNIV NOTRE DAME
1871	VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY
2174	COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY MBA
5812	UNIV FLORIDA
5820	UNIV VIRGINIA MBA

United States Bankruptcy Court
Middle District of Florida
Sam M. Gibbons United States Courthouse
801 North Florida Avenue, Suite 932
Tampa, Florida 33602-3899

Alexander L. Paskay
Chief Bankruptcy Judge

Phone: (813) 301-5146

September 1, 1999

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

Mark Adams served as a judicial extern under my supervision in the Bankruptcy Court in the Middle District of Florida from June 1999 through August 1999. Mr. Adams was very alert and demonstrated dedication, enthusiasm and diligence during his externship. He was very helpful in assisting me, together with my law clerk, in processing complicated matters which came before the Court during his tenure.

Mr. Adams received a detailed briefing of the operation of the Court and had an opportunity to prepare memoranda and drafts of opinions for matters involving complex legal problems.

Mr. Adams is extremely capable. In addition to writing well, he has strong organizational and research skills. In terms of ability, responsibility, competence, personality and integrity, I rate him excellent.

It is my view that Mr. Adams shall be a welcome addition and an asset to the legal profession.

Sincerely yours,



ALEXANDER L. PASKAY
Chief Bankruptcy Judge

ALP:mm



UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA

College of Law
Offices of the Faculty

PO Box 117625
Gainesville, FL 32611-7625
(352) 392-2211
Fax (352) 392-3005

To whom it may concern:

I am writing this letter of recommendation on behalf of Mark A. Adams. Mark was a student in my Evidence and Intellectual Property courses and in my Intellectual Property Theory seminar, and he performed well in all three settings. I found Mark to be a well-prepared, articulate, and insightful student. In my Intellectual Property Theory seminar, we spent the majority of our time discussing the economic and philosophical foundations of intellectual property law and in listening to and critiquing students' papers. In these discussions, Mark demonstrated an ability to quickly grasp new concepts; analyze complex problems and arguments; and point out flaws and propose innovative solutions in a constructive way.

Mark's seminar paper on trademark and trade dress protection demonstrates his ability to distinguish the differences between these two closely related concepts; argues for a need for a differentiation in their treatment; proposes and analyzes possible solutions to the problem he identifies; and makes an effective argument for an efficient resolution of that problem. Mark's paper was one of the best in the class, and it demonstrated a good deal of original thought. In fact, I cited it in an article I published recently in the *Marquette Intellectual Property Law Review*. See Thomas F. Cotter, *Is This Conflict Really Necessary?: Resolving an Ostensible Conflict Between Patent Law and Federal Trademark Law*, 3 *MARQUETTE INTELL. PROP. L. REV.* 25, 66 n.241 (1999).

Due to Mark's intelligence, persuasiveness, and extroverted personality, I am confident that he will be a successful attorney and a very valuable asset to your firm. If you would like to discuss Mark in greater detail, please call me at (352) 392-2235.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Thomas F. Cotter".

Thomas F. Cotter
Professor of Law