FILED

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA 1999 OCT 22 PM 12: 04 OCALA DIVISION

ANTHONY N. THACKER,

CASE NO.:

Plaintiff,

99-320-Cin Co-10A

vs.

SUMTER ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.,

Defendant	t.		
			-

### COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, Anthony N. Thacker, by and through his undersigned counsel, hereby sues Defendant, Sumter Electric Cooperative, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "Sumter Electric") and would allege as follows:

### **JURIDICTION AND VENUE**

- 1. This action is brought to remedy discrimination on the basis of sex in the terms, conditions, and privileges of employment and to remedy retaliation against an employee in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §2000(e) et seq., ("Title VII") and the Florida Civil Rights Act of 1992, § 760.01, et seq., Florida Statutes.
- 2. Injunctive and declaratory relief, damages and other appropriate legal and equitable relief are sought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §2000(e)(f)(g) and §760.01, et seq., Florida Statutes.
- 3. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) and 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5(f)(3). The Defendant corporation is authorized to do business and is doing business in the State of Florida and within the jurisdiction of this Honorable Court in

Ocala, Florida. The alleged unlawful practices were committed in Ocala and in the State of Florida and within the jurisdiction of this Honorable Court.

- Plaintiff, ANTHONY N. THACKER, a male and resident of the State of Florida, filed his initial Charge of Discrimination against Defendant, SUMTER ELECTRIC, with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) and the Florida Commission on Human Relations on or about January 14, 1998, complaining of the acts of sex discrimination and retaliation alleged herein. A copy of said Charge is attached hereto and marked as Exhibit "A". The EEOC issued to this Charge of Discrimination charge number 1D980223. The Florida Commission on Human Relations issued to this Charge of Discrimination charge number 980829. This Charge addressed discrimination which occurred in November, 1997 with respect to alleged sex discrimination, and it was filed within 300 days of then, the last date of harm. Plaintiff was issued a "Right to Sue" on August 9, 1999 and has filed this action within ninety (90) days of his receipt of same. A copy of said Right to Sue is attached hereto and marked as Exhibit "B". Plaintiff has filed an additional Charge of Discrimination on or about January 7, 1999 alleging retaliation as a result of complaining of discrimination and filing the aforementioned Charge. However, Plaintiff has not yet received a Right to Sue with respect to that Charge of Discrimination. Thus, the allegations contained in Charge EEOC No. 15D995490 and FCHR Charge No. 99-1060, will be made a part of this Complaint, upon proper motion to this Honorable Court, upon receipt of the additional Right to Sue.
- 5. Plaintiff has complied fully with all prerequisites to jurisdiction in this Court under Title VII and the Florida Civil Rights Act. Jurisdiction of the Court is proper

under §706(f)(3) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5(f)(3). Jurisdiction of this claim under the Florida Civil Rights Act of 1992, §760.01 et seq., Florida Statutes.

### **PARTIES**

- 6. Plaintiff has been an employee of Sumter Electric Cooperative, Inc. through all dates where illegal discrimination is alleged, and remains an employee of the Defendant up to the filing of this lawsuit.
- 7. Defendant, SUMTER ELECTRIC, maintains a business at 4872 S.W. 60<sup>th</sup> Ave., Ocala, Marion County, Florida 34471. All of the actions described herein occurred in Marion County, Ocala, Florida.
- 8. Plaintiff, ANTHONY N. THACKER, claims compensatory damages as a result of the actions of SUMTER ELECTRIC.
- 9. Defendant, a Florida corporation, operates a business in Ocala, Marion County, Florida and employs more than 15 employees at all material times hereto. All acts complained of occurred during business hours, at Defendant's place of business, and while Plaintiff was attempting to carry out his job duties.

### ALLEGATIONS COMMON AS TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

10. On or about November, 1997, Plaintiff filled all prerequisites for, and applied for the Ocala Coordinator position at Sumter Electric. Despite the fact that he met or exceeded all job qualifications, and was in fact the most qualified for this position, a female, Penny Embach, was hired from outside the Company, and certainly did not exceed the qualifications for the position. Plaintiff (male) was denied said promotion on the basis of his sex.

- 11. Patty Embach, female, was granted the Coordinator position because of her sex, and Defendants exhibited an intentional and willful acts of discrimination against Plaintiff based upon his sex, male.
- 12. Despite Plaintiffs making pleas to the managers, officers, and directors, and employees of the Defendant, the discrimination was not remedied and the acts described herein have caused Plaintiff severe emotional and physical symptoms, caused the Plaintiff devastating losses financially, and said losses will continue into the indefinite future until said discrimination is remedied.
- 13. This action is brought to remedy discrimination on the basis of sex in the terms, conditions, and priveleges of employment against an employee, Anthony N. Thacker.

### <u>I - FEDERAL CLAIM FOR SEX DISCRIMINATION</u> (SEX HARASSMENT/GENDER DISCRIMINATION/HOSTILE ENVIRONMENT)

- 14. Plaintiff repeats and alleges each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 13 of this Complaint with the same force and effect as is set forth herein.
- 15. Defendant has discriminated against the Plaintiff in the terms and conditions of his employment on the basis of his sex in Violation of Title VII and have permitted or otherwise perpetuated a discriminatory work environment for the Plaintiff due to the conduct described herein.
- 16. Plaintiff is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and monetary damages as a result of the Defendant's discriminatory practices, unless

and until this Court grants relief. The acts of Defendant or Defendant's agents were willful, wanton, and in utter disregard of the rights of Plaintiff.

## II- STATE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT VIOLATION - SEX DISCRIMINATION (SEX DISCRIMINATION/SEX HARASSMENT/HOSTILE ENVIRONMENT)

- 17. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein paragraphs 1 through 16, of this Complaint with the same force and effect as is set forth herein.
- 18. The above practices of the Defendant constitute unlawful discriminatory employment practice within the meaning of the Florida Civil Rights Act of 1992, §760.01 et seq., Florida Statutes.
- 19. As a result of the Defendant's discriminatory acts, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer monetary damages and damages for mental anguish and humiliation unless and until this Court grants relief.

### VII - PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 20. Plaintiff realleges and repeats the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-19 hereof as if fully set forth herein.
- 21. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, ANTHONY N. THACKER, respectfully prays for judgment against the Defendant as follows:
  - (a) Declaring the acts and practices complained herein are in violation of Title VII and the Florida Civil Rights Act.
- (b) Enjoining and permanently restraining these violations of Title VII and the Florida Civil Rights Act;
- (c) Directing Defendant to take such affirmative actions as is necessary to ensure that the effects of these unlawful employment practices are eliminated;

- (d) Directing Defendant to place Plaintiff in the position that he would have occupied but for the Defendant's discriminatory and retaliatory treatment of him and make his whole for all earnings he would have received but for the Defendant's discriminatory and retaliatory treatment;
- (e) Awarding Plaintiff compensatory and punitive damages, pursuant to Federal and State law;
- (f) Awarding Plaintiff the costs of this action, together with reasonable attorney's fees as provided by Section 706(k) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §2000(e)-6(k) and the Florida Civil Rights Act; and
- (g) Granting such other and further relief as this Court deems necessary and proper.

### **JURY DEMAND**

22. Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff, ANTHONY N. THACKER, herein demands trial by jury of all issues in this action.

**BOGIN, MUNNS & MUNNS** 

PAMELA BOUNDS OLSEN, ESQUIRE

2215 S.E Fort King Street

Suite A

Ocala, Florida 34471

Telephone: (352) 690-7400 Facsimile: (352) 690-6618 Florida Bar No: 0894117

Attorneys for Plaintiff/Trial Attorney

RELATIONS COMMISSION ON HUMAN FLORIDA 325 John Knox Road, Suite 240, Building F Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1570

CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION	FCHR No.						
Name (Indicate/My., Ms., or Mrs.)	Telephone No. (area code)						
ANTHONY N. THACKER		352-245-4934					
Street Address		Home 2.4.6.4.6.2.4					
11118 S.E. 407 AVE.	<del></del>	352-245-4934 Week (if possible to cell you there)					
City, State, and Zip Code		Work (if possible to call you there)					
BELLEVIEW, FL, 34420		352-237-4107					
List the employer, labor organization, employment agency, apprenticeship committee, government agency, or otherwise person who discriminated against you.							
Name	No. of Employees	Telephone No. (area code)					
SUMTER ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE	250	352-793-3801					
, , ;	State and Zip Code	County					
P.O. Box 301, SUMTERVILLE, FL,		SUMTER					
CAUSE OF DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box (ed.)	HANDICAP	DATE MOST RECENT OR CONTINUING DISCRIMINATION TOOK PLACE					
NATIONAL ORIGIN AGE MARITAL STAT	US TETALIATION	(month,dey,year) NOV, 1997					
THIS SECOND OCCURANCE, IN NOVEMBER 1997, FORCES ME TO							
TAKE ACTION.							
PARTICULARS IN THIS CASE ARE HAVING 13 YEARS EXPERIENCE	; I was De	exited A Promotion AFTER REHOUSE. EVERYONE M					
HAVING 13 YEARS EXPERIENCE IN THIS WAREHOUSE. EVERYONE IN THE COMPANY WAS Shocked WHON I DIA NOT GET THE JOB.							
ALSO, THE COMPANY HAS TO RESORT TO HIRING A FEMALE PROM							
DUTSIDE THE COMPANY WITH LESS TIME IN THE CLASSIFICATION THAT I HAVE. SHE KNEW VIRTUALLY NOTHING ABOUT THE JOB When She							
T HAVE, SHE KNEW VIRTUALLY NOTHING ABOUT THE JOB When She							
WAS HIRED AND I AM CONTINUING TO TRAIN HER IN THE							
EVERYNAY ASPECTS DE THE POSITION.							
I will advise the agency If I change my eddress or telephone number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures  SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT	unudg	Ignacio Diaz  Notary Public, State of Florida  Commission No. CC 662570  My Commission Exp. 07/09/2001					
1.0 n. 2 1-14-	98 14 De 2000	NOTARY - FLANORY Service & Booding Co. 3 19					

### U.S. JUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMM. JON NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE

: , <u>;</u> i

(ISSUED ON REQUEST)							
7	To:	From:					
Anthony N. thacker 1118 S.E. 40 <sup>TH</sup> Avenue Belleview, FL 34420  On behalf of a person aggrieved whose identity is CONFIDENTIAL (29 C.F.R. 1601.7(a))		ose identity is CONFIDENTIAL	Miami District Office Equal Employment Opportunity Commission One Biscayne Tower, Suite 2700 2 South Biscayne Boulevard Miami, Florida 33131-1805				
Charge	Number	EEOC Representative	·····	Telephone Number			
15D98	0223	James Colon, State & Local Cod	ordinator	(305) 536-4454			
Title VII Title VII must be	and/or the ADA based on the about filed in federal or state court WIT ed charge will be lost.	d/or the Americans with Disabilities A ove-numbered charge. It has been isso HIN 90 DAYS of your receipt of this f	ct(ADA): This is your ued at your request.	Your suit under Title VII or the ADA			
	Less than 180 days have pas	assed since the filing of this charge, sed since the filing of this charge, but te its administrative processing within processing of this charge.					
	The EEOC will continue to pr	rocess this charge.					
_	crimination in Employment Act (A	ADEA): You may sue under the ADEA t we have completed action on the ch	-				
	90 DAYS of your receipt of the EEOC is continuing its handli	se. Therefore, your lawsuit under the this Notice. Otherwise, your right to sing of your ADEA case. However, if the state court under the ADEA at this	sue based on the abo	ove-numbered charge will be lost.			
brought	in federal or state court within wi	ne right to sue under the EPA (filing a ithin 2 years (3 years for willful violat rred <u>more than 2 years (3 years)</u> befo	ions) of the alleged E	PA underpayment. This means that			
If you fi	AUG 0 9 1999	se send a copy of your court complia	On Behalf of t	the commission s, District Director			
	•		DECE				
c P 1 S	umter Electric Cooperative, Inc. /o John F. Wymer, III, Esq. owerll, Goldstein, Frazer & Murph 91 Peachtree Street, N.E. ixteenth Floor	Pamela B. Olsen, Esq. Bogin, Munns & Munns  2215 S.E. Ft. King Street  Sui Oc	AUG 1 1	1999			

EEOC Form 161-B (10/96)