

Exposing the Libyan Agenda: A Closer Look at Hillary Clinton's Emails

Tuesday, 15 March 2016 00:00

By Ellen Brown (</author/itemlist/user/44779>), *The Web of Debt Blog* (<http://ellenbrown.com/2016/03/13/exposing-the-libyan-agenda-a-closer-look-at-hillarys-emails/>) | News Analysis



Secretary of State Hillary Clinton at the end of a one-day trip to Tripoli, Libya, after the fall of Muammar el-Qaddafi, October 18, 2011. (Photo: Kevin Lamarque / Pool via The New York Times)

The brief visit of then-Secretary of State Hillary Clinton to Libya in October 2011 was referred to by the media as a "victory lap." "We came, we saw, he died!" she crowed in a CBS video interview (<http://www.cbsnews.com/videos/clinton-on-qaddafi-we-came-we-saw-he-died/>) on hearing of the capture and brutal murder of Libyan leader Muammar el-Qaddafi.

But the victory lap, write Scott Shane and Jo Becker in *The New York Times* (http://www.nytimes.com/2016/02/28/us/politics/libya-isis-hillary-clinton.html?_r=0), was premature. Libya was relegated to the back burner by the State Department, "as the country dissolved into chaos, leading to a civil war that would destabilize the region, fueling the refugee crisis in Europe and allowing the Islamic State to establish a Libyan haven that the United States is now desperately trying to contain."

US-NATO intervention was allegedly undertaken on humanitarian grounds, after reports of mass atrocities; but human rights organizations questioned the claims

(<http://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/africa/amnesty-questions-claim-that-gaddafi-ordered-rape-as-weapon-of-war-2302037.html>) after finding a lack of evidence (<http://www.counterpunch.org/2011/08/31/the-top-ten-myths-in-the-war-against-libya/>). Today, however, verifiable atrocities are occurring. As Dan Kovalik wrote (http://www.huffingtonpost.com/dan-kovalik/clinton-emails-on-libya-e_b_9054182.html) in the Huffington Post, "the human rights situation in Libya is a disaster, as 'thousands of detainees [including children] languish in prisons without proper judicial review,' and 'kidnappings and targeted killings are rampant'."

Before 2011, Libya had achieved economic independence, with its own water, its own food, its own oil, its own money, and its own state-owned bank. It had arisen under Qaddafi from one of the poorest of countries to the richest in Africa. Education and medical treatment were free (<http://www.counterpunch.org/2015/10/20/libya-from-africas-wealthiest-democracy-under-gaddafi-to-terrorist-haven-after-us-intervention/>); having a home was considered a human right; and Libyans participated in an original system of local democracy (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/General_People%27s_Committee). The country boasted the world's largest irrigation system, the Great Man-made River project (<http://www.mathaba.net/news/?x=632945>), which brought water from the desert to the cities and coastal areas; and Qaddafi was embarking on a program to spread this model throughout Africa.

But that was before US-NATO forces bombed the irrigation system (<http://www.truth-out.org/news/item/30999-war-crime-nato-deliberately-destroyed-libya-s-water-infrastructure>) and wreaked havoc on the country. Today the situation is so dire that President Obama has asked his advisors to draw up options including a new military front in Libya (<http://www.counterpunch.org/2016/02/05/obama-readies-to-fight-in-libya-again/>), and the Defense Department is reportedly standing ready with "the full spectrum of military operations required."

The Secretary of State's victory lap was indeed premature, if what we're talking about is the officially stated goal of humanitarian intervention. But her newly-released emails reveal another agenda behind the Libyan war; and this one, it seems, was achieved.

Mission Accomplished?

Of the 3,000 emails released from Hillary Clinton's private email server in late December 2015, about a third were from her close confidante Sidney Blumenthal, the attorney who defended her husband in the Monica Lewinsky case. One of these emails, (<http://www.zerohedge.com/news/2016-01-09/clinton-email-hints-oil-gold-were-behind-regime-change-libya>) dated April 2, 2011, reads in part:

Qaddafi's government holds 143 tons of gold, and a similar amount in silver.... This gold was accumulated prior to the current rebellion and was intended to be used to establish a pan-African currency based on the Libyan golden Dinar. This plan was designed to provide the Francophone African Countries with an alternative to the French franc (CFA).

In a "source comment," the original declassified email adds:

According to knowledgeable individuals this quantity of gold and silver is valued at more than \$7 billion. French intelligence officers discovered this plan shortly after the current rebellion began, and this was one of the factors that influenced President Nicolas Sarkozy's decision to commit France to the attack on Libya. According to these individuals Sarkozy's plans are driven by the following issues:

1. A desire to gain a greater share of Libya oil production,
2. Increase French influence in North Africa,
3. Improve his internal political situation in France,
4. Provide the French military with an opportunity to reassert its position in the world,
5. Address the concern of his advisors over Qaddafi's long term plans to supplant France as the dominant power in Francophone Africa

Conspicuously absent is any mention of humanitarian concerns. The objectives are money, power and oil.

Other explosive confirmations in the newly-published emails are detailed by investigative journalist Robert Parry (<http://www.commondreams.org/views/2016/01/13/what-hillary-knew-about-libya>). They include admissions of rebel war crimes, of special ops trainers inside Libya from nearly the start of protests, and of Al Qaeda embedded in the US-backed opposition. Key propaganda themes for violent intervention are acknowledged to be mere rumors. Parry suggests they may have originated with Blumenthal himself. They include the bizarre claim that Qaddafi had a "rape policy" involving passing Viagra out to his troops, a charge later raised by UN Ambassador Susan Rice in a UN presentation. Parry asks rhetorically:

So do you think it would it be easier for the Obama administration to rally American support behind this "regime change" by explaining how the French wanted to steal Libya's wealth and maintain French neocolonial influence over Africa -- or would Americans respond better to propaganda themes about Gaddafi passing out Viagra to his troops so they could rape more women while his snipers targeted innocent children? Bingo!

Toppling the Global Financial Scheme

Qaddafi's threatened attempt to establish an independent African currency was not taken lightly by Western interests. In 2011, Sarkozy reportedly called the Libyan leader a threat to the financial security of the world

(<http://www.thenewamerican.com/economy/markets/item/4630-gadhafi-s-gold-money-plan-would-have-devastated-dollar>). How could this tiny country of six million people pose such a threat? First some background.

It is banks, not governments, that create most of the money in Western economies, as the Bank of England recently acknowledged (<http://www.bankofengland.co.uk/publications/Documents/quarterlybulletin/2014/qb14q1prereleasemoneycreation.pdf>). This has been going on for centuries, through the process called "fractional reserve" lending. Originally, the reserves were in gold. In 1933, President Franklin Roosevelt replaced gold domestically with central bank-created reserves, but gold remained the reserve currency internationally.

In 1944, the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank were created in Bretton Woods, New Hampshire, to unify this bank-created money system globally. An IMF ruling said that no paper money could have gold backing. A money supply created privately as debt at interest requires a continual supply of debtors; and over the next half century, most developing countries wound up in debt to the IMF (<http://www.globalexchange.org/resources/wbimf/oppose>). The loans came with strings attached, including "structural adjustment" policies involving austerity measures and privatization of public assets.

After 1944, the US dollar traded interchangeably with gold as global reserve currency. When the US was no longer able to maintain the dollar's gold backing, in the 1970s it made a deal with OPEC to "back" the dollar with oil, creating the "petro-dollar." Oil would be sold only in US dollars, which would be deposited in Wall Street and other international banks.

In 2001, dissatisfied with the shrinking value of the dollars that OPEC was getting for its oil, Iraq's Saddam Hussein broke the pact and sold oil in euros. Regime change swiftly followed, accompanied by widespread destruction of the country.

In Libya, Qaddafi also broke the pact; but he did more than just sell his oil in another currency.

As these developments are detailed by blogger Denise Rhyne (<http://www.youshouldbuygold.com/2011/10/end-of-african-gold-standard-the-oil-gold-relationship/>):

For decades, Libya and other African countries had been attempting to create a pan-African gold standard. Libya's al-Qadhafi and other heads of African States had wanted an independent, pan-African, "hard currency."

Under al-Qadhafi's leadership, African nations had convened at least twice for monetary unification. The countries discussed the possibility of using the Libyan dinar and the silver dirham as the *only* possible money to buy African oil.

Until the recent US/NATO invasion, the gold dinar was issued by the Central Bank of Libya (CBL). The Libyan bank was 100% state owned and independent. Foreigners had to go through the CBL to do business with Libya. The Central Bank of Libya issued the dinar, using the country's 143.8 tons of gold.

Libya's Qadhafi (*African Union* 2009 Chair) conceived and financed a plan to unify the sovereign States of Africa with one gold currency (*United States of Africa*). In 2004, a pan-African Parliament (53 nations) laid plans for the *African Economic Community* -- with a single gold currency by 2023.

African oil-producing nations were planning to abandon the petro-dollar, and demand gold payment for oil/gas.

Showing What Is Possible

Qaddafi had done more than organize an African monetary coup. He had demonstrated that financial independence could be achieved. His greatest infrastructure project, the Great Man-made River, was turning arid regions into a breadbasket for Libya; and the \$33 billion project was being funded interest-free without foreign debt, through Libya's own state-owned bank.

That could explain why this critical piece of infrastructure was destroyed in 2011. NATO not only bombed (<http://www.truth-out.org/news/item/30999-war-crime-nato-deliberately-destroyed-libya-s-water-infrastructure>) the pipeline but finished off the project by bombing the factory producing the pipes necessary to repair it. Crippling a civilian irrigation system serving up to 70% of the population hardly looks like humanitarian intervention. Rather, as Canadian Professor Maximilian Forte put it in his heavily researched book *Slouching Towards Sirte: NATO's War on Libya and Africa* (<https://ceasefiremagazine.co.uk/review-slouching-sirte-natos-war-libya-africa-maximilian-forte/>):

[T]he goal of US military intervention was to disrupt an emerging pattern of independence and a network of collaboration within Africa that would facilitate increased African self-reliance. This is at odds with the geostrategic and political economic ambitions of extra-continental European powers, namely the US.

Mystery Solved

Hilary Clinton's emails shed light on another enigma remarked on by early

commentators. Why, within weeks of initiating fighting, did the rebels set up their own central bank? Robert Wenzel wrote (<http://www.economicpolicyjournal.com/2011/03/libyan-rebels-form-central-bank.html>) in The Economic Policy Journal in 2011:

This suggests we have a bit more than a rag tag bunch of rebels running around and that there are some pretty sophisticated influences. I have never before heard of a central bank being created in just a matter of weeks out of a popular uprising.

It was all highly suspicious, but as Alex Newman concluded (<http://www.thenewamerican.com/economy/markets/item/4630-gadhafis-gold-money-plan-would-have-devastated-dollar>) in a November 2011 article:

Whether salvaging central banking and the corrupt global monetary system were truly among the reasons for Gadhafi's overthrow . . . may never be known for certain -- at least not publicly.

There the matter would have remained -- suspicious but unverified like so many stories of fraud and corruption -- but for the publication of Hillary Clinton's emails after an FBI probe. They add substantial weight to Newman's suspicions: violent intervention was not chiefly about the security of the people. It was about the security of global banking, money and oil.

This piece was reprinted by Truthout with permission or license. It may not be reproduced in any form without permission or license from the source.

ELLEN BROWN (/AUTHOR/ITEMLIST/USER/44779)

Ellen Brown is an attorney, president of the Public Banking Institute, and author of twelve books including the best-selling Web of Debt (<http://WebofDebt.com>). In The Public Bank Solution (<http://publicbanksolution.com/>), her latest book, she explores successful public banking models historically and globally. Her websites are Web of Debt (<http://WebofDebt.com>), Public Bank Solution (<http://publicbanksolution.com/>), and Public Banking Institute (<http://PublicBankingInstitute.org>).

RELATED STORIES

Libya Struggles to Curb Militias as Chaos Grows (/article/item/6593-libya-struggles-to-curb-militias-as-chaos-grows)

By Anthony Shadid, The New York Times News Service (<http://truth-out.org>) | name.

Libya: The Revolution That Never Was? (/news/item/22176-libya-the-revolution-that-never-was)

By Harrison Samphir, Truthout (<http://truth-out.org>) | News Analysis

Libya, ISIS and the Unaffordable Luxury of Hindsight (/speakout/item/29593-libya-isis-and-the-unaffordable-luxury-of-hindsight)

By Ahmad Barqawi, SpeakOut (<http://truth-out.org>) | Op-Ed

Show Comments

